

(SRI R. M. PATIL)

2. Notification No. HD 65 (2) TMT 61, dated 20th July 1961 (Reduction of Tax payable under the Mysore Motor Vehicles Taxation Act, 1957);

3. Notification No. HD 32 TMT 61, dated 13th November, 1961 (Reduction of Tax payable under the Mysore Motor Vehicles Taxation Act, 1957);

4. Notification No. HD 68 TMT 61, dated 2nd December 1961 (Reduction of Tax payable under the Mysore Motor Vehicles Taxation Act, 1957);

5. Notification No. HD 83 TMT 61, dated 3rd January 1962 (Reduction of Tax payable under the Mysore Motor Vehicles Taxation Act, 1957);

6. Notification No. HD 98 TMT 60, dated 9th January 1962 (Reduction of Tax payable under the Mysore Motor Vehicles Taxation Act, 1957);

7. Notification No. HD 83 TMT 61, dated 17th January 1962 (Reduction of Tax payable under the Mysore Motor Vehicles Taxation Act, 1957);

8. Notification No. HD 57 TMT 61, dated 31st January 1962 (Reduction of Tax payable under the Mysore Motor Vehicles Taxation Act, 1957), as required under section 16 (2) of the Mysore Motor Vehicles Taxation Act, 1957.

Sri K. MALLAPPA (Minister for Industries and Commerce).—I beg to lay:—

Notification No. CI28 SAI 58, dated 9th January 1962 (The Mysore State Aid to Industries Rules, 1961); as required under section 23 ( ) of the Mysore State Aid to Industries Act, 1959.

Sri B. RACHIAH (Minister for Agriculture, Co-operation and Forest).—I beg to re-lay:—

1. Notification No. A and F. 24 CAD 60, dated 10th August 1961 (Amendment to the Mysore Co-operative Societies Rules, 1960);

2. Order No. A and F 6 CAD 60, dated 18th August 1961 (Application of section 29 of the Mysore Co-operative Societies Act, 1959 to the Mysore Central Co-operative Land Mortgage Bank, Ltd., Bangalore);

3. Notification No. A and F 16, dated 27th September 1961 CAD 60,

(Amendment to the Mysore Co-operative Societies Rules, 1960), as required under section 130 of the Mysore Co-operative Societies Act, 1959.

Sri B. RACHIAH (Minister for Agriculture, Co-operation and Forest).—I beg to lay:—

The Mysore Preservation of Private Forests Ordinance, 1962, as required under clause 2 (a) of article 213 of the Constitution of India.

### Business of the House

Mr. SPEAKER.—Before the Hon'ble the Chief Minister begins, I wish to say something. The Hon'ble Member Sri G. Venkatai Gowda was pleased to raise a point of order saying that there has been some delay in laying on the Table of the House certain notifications and I told him that it was a matter of "relay". The matter of "relay" refers to items (a) 1, 2 and 3; but with regard to sub-section (b), there has been some delay. With regard to the point of order raised by him, I did not give him a ruling. I want to say that there is no point of order. It is a matter to be brought to the notice of the Subordinate Legislation Committee and if there has been any delay, the Subordinate Legislation Committee will look into it and the Government will explain. Certainly there has been delay of which the Government will take note.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಗೋಪಾಲಗೌಡ.—ಸ್ವಾಮಿ, ಕ್ರಿಯಾ ಲೋಪದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ವಿವರಣೆಯನ್ನು ಕೊಡುತ್ತೇನೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದರಿ, ಅದನ್ನು ಈಗ ಕೊಟ್ಟರೆ ಅನುಕೂಲವಾಗುತ್ತದೆ.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I am not quite happy that this matter is being raised time and again. I would only conclude by saying that if he reads notifications which every member is expected to read, he will get all the requisite information.

Sri S. SHIVAPPA.—On a point of procedure. Now, according to the programme, there is no item like the discussion on the Governor's Address today. The Governor was pleased to address the Legislature on the 15th of this month, but according to the Constitution of

India, discussion on the Governor's Address should have precedence over all the business of the day. It is clearly stated in article 176 :

“(2) Provision shall be made by the rules regulating the procedure of the House or either House for the allotment of time for discussion of the matters referred to in such address and for the precedence of such discussion over other business of the House.”

It clearly states that this discussion over the Governor's Address should have precedence over the other business of the House. When the Governor's Address had taken place on 15th, it is surprising to find that according to the revised programme, discussion on it has been tagged on to the last days of the programme, i.e., on 30th, 1st and 2nd. So, the very purpose of the Governor's Address is lost by not taking up the discussion before transacting other business.

**Sri G. VENKATAI GOWDA.**—Apart from the Constitutional provision pointed out by my friend, I may be permitted to say that it is the convention of the House that as soon as the Governor delivers his Address this House used to discuss and now a go-bye has been given to it. Why have you not followed that convention which has been established in this House ?

12-00 NOON.

**Mr. SPEAKER.**—Now the point that has been raised is best understood by referring to article 176, sub-section (2) of the Constitution :

“Provision shall be made by the rules regulating the procedure of the House or either House for the allotment of time for discussion of the matters referred to in such address.”

Rule 18 sub-rule (2) of the Rules of Procedure and Conduct of Business in the Mysore Legislative Assembly says :

“The Speaker shall, in consultation with the Leader of the House, allot time for the discussion of the matters referred to in the Governor's Address to the Houses under article 176 of the Constitution.”

So, the allotment has to be made in consultation with the Leader of the House. That has been done and there is nothing wrong in taking up other items of work. Therefore, there is no substance in the point of order raised by the Hon'ble Leader of the Opposition.

**ಶ್ರೀ ಎನ್. ಶಿವಪ್ಪ.**—ರಾಜ್ಯಪಾಲರ ಭಾಷಣವು ಅಷ್ಟು ತುರ್ತಾದ ವಿಷಯವಲ್ಲ ಎನ್ನುವ ಭಾವನೆ ಇದ್ದಿದ್ದರೆ ಅದನ್ನು ಈ ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಮೊದಲೇ ಏರ್ಪಾಡು ಮಾಡಬೇಕಾದ ಅವಶ್ಯಕತೆ ಇರಲಿಲ್ಲ.

**Mr. SPEAKER.**—The Hon'ble Member need not develop that point. I have got the highest respect for the Governor's Address.

**Sri S. SHIVAPPA.**—But, Sir, the last sentence is very clear that such address should have precedence. It should have precedence over the other business of the House. Please read the latter part, i.e., the last sentence that “it should have precedence over such other business of the House”. Otherwise, the Governor's Address could have been arranged to be delivered at the end. Why? It could have been arranged to be delivered on any date. How can we discuss the Supplementary Budget when we have not discussed the policy statement of the Governor? It will lose its sanctity.

\* **Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.**—In regard to the discussion of the Governor's Address, may I know what were the compelling reasons placed before the Hon'ble Speaker by the Hon'ble Chief Minister to discuss them later on? Has he stated “for these reasons, it is very difficult to discuss this matter. Hence I request that this may be postponed.” May I know what were the facts placed before the Hon'ble Speaker asking for time against the procedure followed, against the conventions followed regarding giving precedence for discussion on the Governor's Address. The Motion of Thanks used to be placed as the first business before this House. Have the 1962 elections brought a new convention and a new procedure under the able Chief Ministership of Sri S. R. Kanthi? I want to know what were the compelling reasons that were placed before the Hon'ble Speaker to allot time later on for the discussion on the Motion of Thanks.

**Mr. SPEAKER.**—Would the Hon'ble Leader of the Opposition let me know whether he has read it from the Statute, or let me know where this mandate is from. Or is it a commentary? I was closely following the Hon'ble Leader of the Opposition. But I do not know wherefrom he has read the text. Has he added his own words to the article of the Constitution the right of precedence? The right of precedence is not provided for in the Statute.

**Sri S. SHIVAPPA.**—I want to know what were the compelling reasons for the Leader of the House.....

**Mr. SPEAKER.**—I was just making myself certain whether the reading of the article by the Leader of the Opposition was perfectly alright and whether there was some lacuna in the copy I was holding. The Governor's Address is entitled to the greatest precedence and entitled to be debated upon for the purpose of policy enunciated in the Governor's Address. But there is also the other point. The Supplementary Demands have to be passed. There is no possibility of these being delayed. Therefore this has been given the priority. Certainly it will not embarrass the Hon'ble Members. It is my duty to see that they will have the fullest scope for such discussion.

**Sri S. R. KANTHI.**—When the Motion of Thanks comes before the House, no other business can be taken.

### PAPERS LAID ON THE TABLE.

**Sri S. R. KANTHI (Chief Minister).**—Sir, I beg to lay:

A statement of Supplementary Estimates (second instalment) as required under article 205(1) of the Constitution of India.

**ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಗೋಪಾಲಗೌಡ.**—ಮಾನ್ಯ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರೇ ನಾನು ಮೊದಲನೇ ದಿವಸವೇ ತಮ್ಮಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಾರ್ಥನೆ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡೆ, ಅದೇನೆಂದರೆ, ಸಾಧ್ಯವಾದ ಮಟ್ಟಿಗೂ ತಾವು, ಮುಖ್ಯ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ಮತ್ತು ಈ ಸಭೆಯ ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರುಗಳೆಲ್ಲರೂ ಕನ್ನಡದಲ್ಲಿಯೇ ಮಾತನಾಡ ಬೇಕು ಎಂದು.

**ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಶಿವಪ್ಪ.**—ಕರ್ಣಾಟಕ ರಾಜ್ಯದ ಆಯವ್ಯಯದ ಅಂದಾಜು ಪಟ್ಟಿಯನ್ನು ಈ ಸಭೆಯ ಮುಂದಿಡುವಾಗ ಅದನ್ನು ಮಾನ್ಯ ಮುಖ್ಯ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ಕನ್ನಡದಲ್ಲಿ ಓದಿದರೆ ಚೆನ್ನಾಗಿರುತ್ತದೆ.

**ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಆರ್. ಕಂಠಿ.**—ಪ್ರಿಂಟಿಂಗ್ ಪ್ರೆಸ್ಸಿಗೆ ಎರಡು ಸಲ ಫೋನ್ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದೆವು. ಕನ್ನಡ ಪ್ರತಿಯು ವೇಳೆಗೆ ಸರಿಯಾಗಿ ಪ್ರಿಂಟ್ ಆಗಲಿಲ್ಲ. ಅದು ಇಷ್ಟ ರಲ್ಲಿಯೇ ಬರುತ್ತದೆ.

**ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಗೋಪಾಲಗೌಡ.**—ಮಾನ್ಯ ಮುಖ್ಯ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳಾದ ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಆರ್. ಕಂಠಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಈಗ ಆರೋಗ್ಯ ಸ್ಥಿತಿ ಹೇಗಿದೆ?

**ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಆರ್. ಕಂಠಿ.**—ಈಗ ನನಗೆ ಆರೋಗ್ಯ ಚೆನ್ನಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳುತ್ತೇನೆ.

**Mr. SPEAKER.**—The Hon'ble Chief Minister may go on. If the Hon'ble Member is so fond of you, you may give your reply outside.

### BUDGET ESTIMATES FOR THE YEAR 1962-63.

#### Presentation.

**S. R. KANTHI (Chief Minister).**—Sir, we meet here after the Third General Elections. I deem it a great privilege to present the Budget Estimates of the State of Mysore for the year 1962-63. I know, I have to perform the onerous and generally irksome task of a Finance Minister. My predecessor in his previous budget speeches had made it clear that the future financial position of our State is going to be very difficult. This is a normal sequence of a developing economy in the initial stages of heavy investments. I am sure that the House will appreciate our difficulties and give their valuable suggestions in raising the resources required for placing our finances on an even keel. I do not propose to go into the working of the several departments, but confine myself only to the financial aspects. For the information of the Honourable Members, salient features of the activities of the departments have been furnished briefly in Part II of the speech.